Appl. No. 10/612,155

Amdt. Dated November 18, 2004

Reply to Office Action of October 8, 2004

**Remarks:** 

2.

1. Claims 1 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject

matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner kindly pointed out

that there was no antecedent basis for "said bore" in claim 1, lines 13 and 18. The

Examiner also noted that there was a double inclusion of "an exhaust valve seat"

in claim 1, lines 14 and 20.

Both of these errors have been corrected in the foregoing set of amended claims.

3. Applicants also point out that the previous set of amended claims indicated that

claim 7 was "Currently Amended" although there was no amendment. The status

of claim 7 has been marked "Original" since it has never been amended.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's detailed consideration of the application and believe that

the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and look forward to a

timely Notice of Allowance.

Although no fees are believed due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge our Deposit

Account No. 50-0831 for any fees or credit the account for any overpayment.

Respectfully submitted,

 $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}}$ 

Ilmmy I Funk

Attornev

Telephone (248) 813-1214

Reg. No. 34166

Date: November 18, 2004